The "Idol from Šernai" and the question of Bronze Age amber provenance in the eastern Mediterranean
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The historical circumstances relating to the discovery of the so-called "Idol from Šernai" are well known. In 1900, an individual walking through the forest of Šernai to the south-east of Klaipėda (then part of East Prussia, and now the Republic of Lithuania) sat down to rest and happened to lean upon a stone. The stone shifted to the side, revealing beneath it a small bronze statue, about 14.7 cm in height (Fig. 1). Later investigation of the area failed to find any additional archaeological material. The statue was kept in the archaeological museum at Königsberg, and published during World War II. Fortunately, the statue was well described in the pre-war archaeological literature (La Baume 1927: Taf. 216a, 1928: 228; Przeworski 1929: Fig. 18; Šūrmas 1936: Taf. 20a).1

The small statue was immediately recognized by scholars as an Oriental import, and was thought to be affiliated with the Hittite-Anatolian cultural area. This was the conclusion reached by Przeworski in his study (1929), which dealt with several oriental Bronze Age finds in regions not far from Lithuania. Most of the finds analyzed by Przeworski, however, have their origin in south-western Siberia, north Caucasus or even Transcaucasia (Przeworski 1929: 32-68), and so the "Idol from Šernai" stands out among the objects of his study as being in a very different style. Various scholars assigned different dates to the statue, falling between the XIV-VIII centuries B.C. (Buchholz 1960: 43-44).

Today, as we have at our disposal the exhaustive investigation made by O. Negbi (1976) of Bronze Age bronze-idol statues, we know that the "Idol from Šernai" belongs without any doubt to the Canaanite culture.2 Literally hundreds of statues of this type are known from the Late Bronze Age (1550-1200 B.C.). Not all Canaanite bronze idols necessarily have their origin in Syria or Palestine, for they also appear in considerable quantities in southeast Anatolia, and on Cyprus. In general, however, the majority of the

1 My thanks are given to Prof. H. G. Buchholz from Giessen for his very important advice, without which the present work could not have been done.

2 The statues most similar to the "Idol from Šernai" in the monograph of O. Negbi are Nos. 1311 and 1360 (PL 20) from Syria and Megiddo in Israel (pp. 29-40), dated to the XIV-XIII cent. B.C. See also Fig. 45, No. 1325, p. 31 with the standard torque; Fig. 133, No. 1440, p. 115 (cf. Ps. 21 and p. 113). The statue belongs to the Late Bronze Age from Syria. Numbers 1175 and 1177 (PL 16) from Syria and Cyprus (pp. 22-24) are from occasional finds, but represent an early date (XVIII cent. B.C.).

excavated finds, as well as the occasional finds of these bronze statues come from Syria-Palestine.

The "Idol from Šernai" is therefore a Canaanite figure of a male deity, probably a weather-god of the Late Bronze Age. This type of figure disappears from the Canaanite archaeological record at the end of the XIII cent. B.C., or by the very beginning of the Iron Age I.

The Šernai figure is by no means a fake, then, and does not seem to be a mystification, i.e. an object taken from one archaeological context, and deliberately put into another archaeological context with the intention of deceiving an unsuspecting future "discoverer". We have to take into account the probability that at the beginning of the 20th century such figurines were almost unknown in Lithuania and elsewhere.

The question then arises: how could this Mediterranean bronze figure have reached the south-eastern shore of the Baltic Sea? In my opinion, it was associated with the ancient amber trade. At this point it is useful to turn to the laboratory investigation by Curt Beck (1955a) of various types of amber — Baltic, Italian, Sicilian, Romanian, French, Portuguese and others. His analysis shows that Baltic amber can be distinguished from all other types of fossil resins on the basis of its infrared spectroscopic signature. This allows the possibility of identifying the origin of some archaeologically known amber artifacts. The selective analysis of amber artefacts found in eastern Europe and the middle East (i.e. the eastern Mediterranean) indicates that the well known Mycenean amber finds (XIV-XII cent. B.C.) as well as the Palestinian artefacts from the Late Bronze and Early Iron Ages (XV-XII cent. B.C.) are of Baltic amber (Hughes-Brock 1985; Todd 1985).

Hughes-Brock points out that texts from Mycenean Greece mention amber craftsmen (re-di-na-to-mo or "resin-cutters") who worked amber there...
during the Late Helladic period. In addition to the amber artefacts locally pro-duced in Mycenaean Greece, a number of unworked amber nodules have also been found (Hughes-Brock 1985: 257-267). From a possible Late Bronze Age context in Achziv, northern Israel we have the figurine of an amber lion, about 10cm in length and 5cm in height. In Israel, where archaeological work has been conducted on a large scale, amber artefacts are found from the Late Bronze age up to Byzantine times (Todd 1985: 292-298).

Baltic amber also reached ancient Mesopotamia, where it was designated by the Akkadian word eilmu (cf. Hebr. yalmu) (Todd 1985: 298). An outstanding object related to the amber trade of ancient Mesopotamia, and especially Assyria, is an amber statue depicting a richly dressed male figure (Fig. 2). It is from the Elie Borowski collection, now in the Museum of Bible Lands in Jerusalem. Formerly this statue was in Europe, and it has been previously described by Merchav (1987: 42) and by Orthmann (1985: 14).

The height of the statuette is 20cm, which to my knowledge makes it one of the largest amber artefacts known from the ancient world. Attributes of style, including the fashion of the garment, are Assyrian, of the IX cent. B.C. In other words, it can be dated to the period from Asšur-nasir-pal II (quarter of the IX cent. B.C.) to Tiglat-pileser III (744-727 B.C.). The fact that the statue is carved to represent an Assyrian king or priest is unmistakable evidence that the amber piece was imported to Assyria in the form of a large unworked nodule. This provides additional proof of Baltic-Near Eastern contacts during the first centuries of the first millennium B.C.

What was the nature of these contacts and how did this piece of amber reach Assyria? Concerning the importation of amber into the Mediterranean, we have to agree with the opinion of C. W. Beck, that it reached the region as the result of some purposeful human effort" (Beck 1985b: 200). Based on Beck’s work we know that some Baltic amber in the Ukraine came by waterway along the Dniepr. Similarly, the Vistula waterway explains the distribution of amber-finds in south Poland and adjacent regions (Beck 1985b: 205-6). But, all these finds represent small pieces of amber. Even if we accept the point of view that amber artefacts in the Iron Age burial of Slovenia came via Italy (Wells 1985), we need to note that these quantities of amber are even smaller. This pattern of distribution does not help to explain how very large pieces of Baltic amber could have reached Assyria. B. A. Turaev (1899) expressed the opinion at the end of the XIX century that the Phoenicians could have reached the Baltic Sea. At the time, however, his opinion was speculative and not based on any specific evidence.

I believe the “idol from Šernšai”, found near the Lithuanian seashore, and the large Baltic amber artefacts found in the Mediterranean region may represent the terminal points of a mutual trade connection. It is possible that Phoenician merchants could be the connecting link, although at present we have no direct evidence of Phoenicians in the Baltic area. We must rely on future archaeological investigations for further explanation. Among the archaeological amber artefacts presently known in Lithuania are there any in an oriental, or at least orientalizing, style? In general, is there any evidence of an orientalizing style in the archaeological record of Lithuania? Answers to these questions will help us to resolve the interesting and important origin of the “idol from Šernšai”.

References


New data on Early Iron Age settlement in south-eastern Latvia

ANDREJS VASKS

The cultural identity of south-eastern Latvia during the Early Iron Age (A.D.1-400) emerged as an archaeological issue in the 1980’s, when excavations were begun along the Daugava river. Prior to this time, three culture-regions associated with the Early Iron Age had been recognized in the territory of Latvia:

1) south-western Latvia, with inhumation burials in shallow graves
2) northern Latvia, with farand graves
3) middle and eastern Latvia, with inhumation burials in sand barrows surrounded by stone circles (Brons et al. 1974, Figs. 37, 58).

The south-eastern part of Latvia was not recognized as a separate area; instead, this territory was included with the region of middle and eastern Latvia. In the latter half of the 1970’s, archaeological excavations were conducted at several hill-forts in south-eastern Latvia, and in the 1980’s at settlement sites on the banks of the Daugava. Data from these excavations indicated that this area had been sparsely populated in the Early Iron Age, and that the problem of its cultural identification needed to be resolved. In this context, excavations at settlement sites along the Daugava in the Krāslava and Daugavpils Districts were particularly important. This paper will report on excavations conducted at one of these sites — the Kerkāzi settlement. Background on previous research in the region is first presented.

Previous Research

At the Sloboda settlement site, on the right bank of the Daugava, an area of 1300m² was investigated, and two periods of settlement were identified: one from the Early Iron Age and another from the Middle Ages (Zariņa 1988:162). The cultural layer identified with the Early Iron Age remained only in hollows of bedrock and reached a thickness of 0.8m. Four stone hearths were found. Evidence of ploughing (i.e. plough-marks) was also found, and appeared to be older than the settlement site. The plough-marks consisted of deep intersecting lines (5-8cm in width, 5cm in depth) in the light-coloured primary rock (Zariņa